Evolution of loess-derived soil along a climatic toposequence in the Qilian Mountains, NE Tibetan Plateau
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Summary

Holocene loess has been recognized as the primary source of the silty topsoil in the northeast Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. The processes through which these uniform loess sediments develop into diverse types of soil remain unclear. In this research, we examined 23 loess-derived soil samples from the Qilian Mountains with varying amounts of pedogenic modification. Soil particle-size distribution and non-calcareous mineralogy were changed only slightly because of the weak intensity of chemical weathering. Accumulation of soil organic carbon (SOC) and leaching of carbonate were both identified as predominant pedogenic responses to soil forming processes. Principal component analysis and structural analysis revealed the strong correlations between soil carbon (SOC and carbonate) and several soil properties related to soil functions. Accretion of SOC effectively decreased soil bulk density ($R^2 = 0.81$) and increased cation exchange capacity ($R^2 = 0.96$), soil water retention at saturation ($R^2 = 0.77$), field capacity ($R^2 = 0.49$) and wilting point ($R^2 = 0.56$). These results indicate that soil ecological functions are strengthened during pedogenic modification of such loess sediments. Soil C/N ratio was constant at small SOC contents, but after reaching a threshold of approximately 35 g kg$^{-1}$ SOC, soil C/N increased linearly with SOC. This indicates a change from a carbon-limited loess ecosystem in arid regions to a nitrogen-limited one in alpine settings. This research suggests that loess sequences within environmental gradients offer great potential as natural experiments to explore intrinsic soil behaviour and ecosystem evolution because the effect of parent material is well constrained.

Highlights

- We examined pedogenic modifications of loess with uniform origin from contrasting environments.
- Accumulation of SOC and depletion of carbonate coincide during pedogenesis of loess-derived soil.
- Pedogenesis underpins functional evolution of loess-derived soil across the Qilian Mountains.
- Loess sequences provide ideal natural experiments to study soil and ecosystem evolution.

Introduction

The Qilian Mountains commonly have a silty surface soil layer that has been derived from loess deposited since the early Holocene (Küster et al., 2006; Kaiser et al., 2007; Nottebaum et al., 2015). These silt-textured loess sediments are typically carbonate rich and organic poor in origin. However, once deposited on the land surface, they undergo varying degrees of pedogenic modification in response to the contrasting environments (Liu, 1985). For example, in the northern foreland of the Qilian Mountains (Hexi Corridor), the soil is dry for most of the year and shows little pedogenic modification of the primary loess, whereas in an alpine setting the soil usually accumulates a large amount of organic carbon because of the low temperature and the soil’s water regime (Küster et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2014).

There is a lack of research on the pedogenic processes that lead to the diversity of soil derived from primary loess in the Qilian Mountains or other mountains of the Tibetan Plateau. In contrast, many pedogenic studies have been carried out on loess-covered plains or plateau regions (e.g. the Eurasian steppe and central plain of the USA). In these studies, environmental
gradients were often identified over a large spatial extent, along which some soil processes, such as accumulation of soil organic carbon (SOC), leaching of carbonate and illuviation of clay, were studied quantitatively (e.g. Jenny, 1941; Walker & Everett, 1991; Klopfenstein et al., 2015). In the Qilian Mountains, however, it is difficult to establish a well-defined climatic or topographic soil sequence because of the considerable soil spatial heterogeneity and the lack of precise meteorological data. The complex terrain and variable climate make it difficult to determine the pedogenic responses to any particular soil forming factor.

Soil organic carbon and carbonate have been reported to correlate well with climatic factors in loess ecosystems (Liu, 1985; Schaetzl & Anderson, 2005; Klopfenstein et al., 2015); therefore, we assumed that they could be used as indicators of climate conditions and pedogenic intensities. Furthermore, SOC and carbonate are two fundamental attributes that affect many soil properties, including pH, cation exchange capacity (CEC), bulk density and soil water retention, which largely determine soil ecological functions (Walker & Everett, 1991; Meyer et al., 2008). It has been reported that SOC and carbonate vary considerably across the Qilian Mountains (Liu et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2014, 2015). Nevertheless, the way that soil ecological functions evolve during pedogenesis of loess-derived soil remains to be clarified.

In this research, we carried out a natural experiment by the careful selection of sites to create a sequence of loess-derived soils with varying degrees of pedogenic modification. One purpose of this study was to determine the pattern of co-variation of SOC and carbonate in loess-derived soil along an altitudinal gradient. In addition, several function-related soil properties, including soil pH, C/N ratio, CEC, bulk density and soil water retention at saturation (SWRs), field capacity (SWRF) and wilting point (SWRW), were investigated in relation to soil particle-size components, SOC and carbonate to obtain a better understanding of the evolutionary processes of loess-derived soil and the functioning of loess ecosystems.

Materials and methods

Study region

The Qilian Mountains form the northeast border of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau (Figure 1a). Their altitude decreases sharply from above 5500 m to less than 2000 m. At the regional scale, temperature and precipitation are controlled largely by altitude. Meteorological information from 10 weather stations at elevations from 4166 to 1483 m across the upper reach of the Heihe River was analysed by Chen et al. (2014); they found that the mean annual precipitation is closely related to altitude (about 200 mm at 1800 m, 600 mm at 3800 m), with an increasing gradient of about 200 mm km⁻¹. The mean annual temperature decreases at a rate of about 4.8°C km⁻¹ (about 6.5°C at 1800 m, −3°C at 3800 m) (Table S1, Supporting Information). Other topographical elements such as aspect and slope shape could also modify local climate by affecting the reallocation of heat and water (Yang et al., 2015). The landscape type is closely related to the heat–water combinations along the environmental gradient. Areas above 4000 m are mainly covered with ice or snow, and are cold desert zones with almost no vegetation. We sampled along a transect from 1849 to 3856 m. The landscape varies with increasing altitude from desert steppe in the arid foreland region (Figure 1b) to steppe or coniferous forest in the subalpine region (Figure 1d) and meadow or shrubby meadow in the alpine setting (Table 1).

Loess and loess-derived soil along the environmental gradient

Deposition of loess during the Holocene has been a regional phenomenon along the northern foreland of the Qilian Mountains and in some other upper mountainous regions (Kaiser et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2014, 2016; Nottebaum et al., 2015). Typically, soil on stable upper land in the Qilian Mountains has a surface soil horizon that is silty and has few stones, but below this there is a sharp change into clastic horizons that are composed mainly of fresh rock fragments (Figure 1a, Table 1). The contrast between silty surface horizons and underlying clastic layers is a typical feature of lithological discontinuity. This indicates that the soil layer in alpine and subalpine regions of this area is essentially derived from loess rather than from substrate rocks (Yang et al., 2016). Loess-like soil is pale yellow (Figure 1c) along the foreland region, whereas loess-derived alpine and subalpine soil is much darker in colour because of the larger SOC and water contents. In addition, the calcareous reaction decreases with the darkness of the soil. All soil samples have a silt loam texture and a Munsell hue of 7.5YR or 10YR (Table 1), which are typical of loess-derived soil. According to the World Reference Base (WRB) 2014 classification (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2014), the desert steppe soil is a Cambic Calciisol, whereas in subalpine and alpine settings the soil is more diverse and includes Cambic Calcisols, Chernic Phaeozems, Calcic Kastanozems, Calcic Chernozems, Skeletic Cambisols and Cryosols (Table 1).

Soil sampling

The design of this study was based on the assumption that the soil that was sampled has a uniform origin (i.e. Holocene loess), which previous sedimentological research, field soil morphology and particle-size distribution curves have shown to be the case (Küster et al., 2006; Nottebaum et al., 2014, 2015). To ensure the validity of this assumption, the soil morphology, including horization, particle-size classes, colour and calcareous reaction classes (Table 1), was examined carefully at all the sites proposed for purposive sampling. The sampling sites cover a wide range of areas, for example elevation ranges from 1848 to 3856 m. This is approximately the altitudinal zone for the distribution of loess surveyed by Nottebaum et al. (2014). The soil on moderate or gentle slopes was sampled to represent the soil of typical landscapes at each altitude. Twenty-three sites were selected and sampled.

Composite and core soil samples were taken separately at the same depth: 5–15 cm depth below ground surface. We deliberately excluded the top 5 cm because the topmost soil layer was likely
to have been affected by animal trampling in alpine settings and
crusting in arid areas. Cores were taken in triplicate at each site
and surrounding soil was also collected to form a composite soil
sample.

Laboratory analysis

The composite soil samples were air-dried, fine roots were removed
by hand, and the soil was sieved through a 2-mm sieve and then
ground with an agate mortar. Soil particle-size distribution was anal-
ysed by a laser diffraction particle-size analyser (LS230, Beckman
Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). Prior to the analysis, ~0.2 g of each
soil sample was prepared by adding 30% H2O2 (hydrogen perox-
ide) and 5 mol l−1 HCl (hydrochloric acid) to remove organic matter
and carbonate. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was used as the dis-
persant, and the sample solution was ultrasonicated for 10 minutes
before measurement (Zhang & Gong, 2012). Soil mineralogy of
the <2 mm fraction was determined with an X-ray diffractometer
(Rigaku Ultima IV, Tokyo, Japan) after all samples were ground
to pass through a 74-μm sieve. The relative abundance of minerals
was quantified with the RockJock program (Eberl, 2003). The SOC
content was determined by the wet oxidation method (Nelson &
Sommers, 1982). Total nitrogen (TN) was measured by the Kjeldahl
digestion procedure (Gallaher et al., 1976). The C/N ratio was cal-
culated as the ratio of SOC to total nitrogen. Soil pH was measured
in a 1:2.5 soil : water solution. Carbonate concentration (expressed
as CaCO3 equivalent) was determined by treating the sample with
HCl and the CO2 emitted was measured manometrically. The CEC
was determined with NH4OAc–EDTA as the extractant and buffered
at pH 7 for acid or neutral soil and pH 8.5 for calcareous soil (Zhang
& Gong, 2012). The CEC was re-measured after SOC had been
removed by heating at 550°C for 4 hours. Soil cores were used to
determine soil water retention and bulk density only. Soil water
retention at saturation (SWRs) was measured as saturated soil water
content by volume. Specifically, undisturbed soil samples in ring
cores were saturated with water for 48 hours. During this process,
the water level was gradually raised by adding water to 1 mm below
the core’s upper edge to exclude air entrapped in the soil. After
weighing, saturated soil samples were transferred to a pressure plate
apparatus to measure water contents at −33 and −1500 kPa to rep-resent
soil water retention at field capacity (SWRF) and wilting point
(SWRw). Ten days and 28 days were needed to reach equilibrium
for these two matric potentials, respectively. The cores were then
oven dried at 105°C for 24 hours and weighed to calculate the bulk
density.

Data analysis

The relations of altitude and soil properties with SOC and carbon-
ate were determined by structural analysis, implemented in the R
environment for statistical computing (R Core Team, 2016). Struc-
tural analysis of two variables determines the best-fitting line that
expresses their relation, taking into consideration the error vari-
ces of the respective determinations (Webster, 1997). It is used
in preference to regression when the aim is to determine a relation
rather than to predict a response variable from a predictor variable.
In structural analysis the error in the relation is not all assigned
to the response variable as in linear regression, but rather is partitioned
among the two variables whose relation is sought. To estimate struc-
tural relations, known precision from our laboratory was used for
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Table 1 Altitude, landscape, soil type and field soil morphologies of the sampled sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Altitude / m</th>
<th>Landscape</th>
<th>Soil type (WRB)</th>
<th>Lithological discontinuity</th>
<th>Gravels / %</th>
<th>Soil texture</th>
<th>Munsell colour</th>
<th>Calcareous reaction classes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1849</td>
<td>Desert steppe</td>
<td>Cambic Calcisols</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 5/4</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2074</td>
<td>Desert steppe</td>
<td>Cambic Calcisols</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 5/3</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2177</td>
<td>Desert steppe</td>
<td>Cambic Calcisols</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 4/4</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2201</td>
<td>Desert steppe</td>
<td>Cambic Calcisols</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 4/4</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2331</td>
<td>Desert steppe</td>
<td>Cambic Calcisols</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 3/4</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2695</td>
<td>Subalpine steppe</td>
<td>Cambic Calcisols</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 4/4</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2739</td>
<td>Subalpine steppe</td>
<td>Cambic Calcisols</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 3/4</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2805</td>
<td>Subalpine steppe</td>
<td>Cambic Phaeozems</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>7.5YR 3/2</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2973</td>
<td>Subalpine steppe</td>
<td>Calcic Kastanozems</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>7.5YR 4/3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2989</td>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>Chernozeems</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 2/3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3004</td>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>Chernozeems</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>7.5YR 2/2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3008</td>
<td>Subalpine steppe</td>
<td>Skeletic Cambisols</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 3/2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3119</td>
<td>Subalpine steppe</td>
<td>Cambic Calcisols</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>7.5YR 5/4</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3129</td>
<td>Subalpine steppe</td>
<td>Calcic Chernozeems</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 3/2</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3152</td>
<td>Subalpine steppe</td>
<td>Skeletic Cambisols</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 2/3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3367</td>
<td>Subalpine steppe</td>
<td>Cambic Calcisols</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 3/3</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3412</td>
<td>Alpine meadow</td>
<td>Calcic Chernozeems</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>7.5YR 3/2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3539</td>
<td>Alpine meadow</td>
<td>Chernozeems</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 3/2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3573</td>
<td>Alpine meadow</td>
<td>Chernozeems</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 3/3</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3632</td>
<td>Shrubby meadow</td>
<td>Umbric Cryosols</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>7.5YR 3/2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>3744</td>
<td>Shrubby meadow</td>
<td>Cambic Cryosols</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>10YR 3/3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>3780</td>
<td>Alpine meadow</td>
<td>Mollic Cryosols</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>7.5YR 3/3</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>3856</td>
<td>Shrubby meadow</td>
<td>Skeletic Cryosols</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>7.5YR 3/3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

α World Reference Base for Soil Resources (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2014).
β Lithological discontinuity represents zone of lithological change of soil parent material. We determined if lithological discontinuity exists in soil profiles within 1 m.
γ Field soil morphologies, including gravel content, soil texture, soil colour and calcareous reaction classes were all described at 5–15-cm depth of the soil profile. Gravel content was estimated in the field by volume.
δ This soil texture is estimated in the field by hand.
ε Soil colour is recorded in moist condition with Munsell colour chart.
f Calcareous reaction classes describe reaction of soil matrix with 3 mol l⁻¹ HCl. Specifically, +++ indicates strongly effervesces, ++ moderately effervesces, + slightly effervesces, – no effervesces.

...the primary soil properties, the error variance of the C/N ratio was computed from the two primary variances by error propagation and the error variance of elevation was estimated from reported vertical precision of the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission data (Farr et al., 2007). Unless specified otherwise, the data represented results from the whole sample (23 sites). For the cores, the three replicates were used to estimate the mean value for further statistical analysis.

A principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out to identify the interrelations among all soil properties by canoco 4.5 (ter Braak & Šmilauer, 2002). The PCA was performed on the correlation matrix, which effectively standardizes the variables measured on different scales. Note that this PCA is based on a purposive sample, and therefore reflects the soil—landscape relations revealed by this representative sample. It is not intended as an unbiased estimate of correlations among these variables studied; rather it is an exploration of the relations in order to interpret pedogenesis in this landscape.

Results

Particle-size distribution and mineralogy

All soil samples had a silt loam texture (Figure S1, Supporting Information). Silt (2–50 μm) was the dominant fraction; it accounted for more than 60% of the composite samples (Table 2). Five loess-like soil samples (sites 1–5) from the desert steppe along the foreland region had the smallest clay content and showed little pedogenic modification because of the aridic soil moisture regime. Soil particle-size distribution was fairly uniform, with a peak value at ~35 μm in all soil samples (Figure S1, Supporting Information).

The mineral composition of all soil samples was dominated by quartz (52–69%). The most remarkable change in mineralogy was between the calcareous minerals (i.e. calcite and dolomite) (Figure 2). A substantial amount of calcite plus dolomite (14–22%) was present in the loess-like soil along the foreland region, whereas their contents were much smaller or even absent in the alpine or subalpine soil. Clay minerals for all soil samples were consistently dominated by illite (12–23%) and chlorite (7–15%).
Table 2 Physical and chemical soil properties of soil samples studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Clay /%</th>
<th>Silt /%</th>
<th>Sand /%</th>
<th>SOC /g kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>TN /g kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>C/N</th>
<th>CEC /cmol kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>CaCO(_3) equivalent /g kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>BD /g cm(^{-3})</th>
<th>SWRs /%</th>
<th>SWRf /%</th>
<th>SWRw /%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.15 (0.07)</td>
<td>55 (2.2)</td>
<td>36 (2.8)</td>
<td>20 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.22 (0.04)</td>
<td>53 (1.1)</td>
<td>35 (2.2)</td>
<td>18 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.25 (0.11)</td>
<td>49 (1.6)</td>
<td>30 (5.2)</td>
<td>14 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.20 (0.03)</td>
<td>54 (1.2)</td>
<td>33 (2.3)</td>
<td>17 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.21 (0.05)</td>
<td>50 (1.5)</td>
<td>30 (2.4)</td>
<td>15 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.13 (0.03)</td>
<td>52 (0.6)</td>
<td>35 (2.2)</td>
<td>16 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.91 (0.13)</td>
<td>57 (1.3)</td>
<td>32 (3.9)</td>
<td>15 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.96 (0.07)</td>
<td>63 (2.5)</td>
<td>40 (2.7)</td>
<td>27 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1.03 (0.02)</td>
<td>53 (1.3)</td>
<td>38 (2.1)</td>
<td>19 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.45 (0.05)</td>
<td>75 (2.8)</td>
<td>41 (1.8)</td>
<td>25 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.36 (0.03)</td>
<td>74 (4.9)</td>
<td>42 (1.9)</td>
<td>30 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.81 (0.03)</td>
<td>60 (3.2)</td>
<td>35 (1.4)</td>
<td>20 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.02 (0.04)</td>
<td>55 (1.8)</td>
<td>35 (1.0)</td>
<td>23 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.68 (0.05)</td>
<td>64 (3.0)</td>
<td>31 (2.7)</td>
<td>15 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.53 (0.05)</td>
<td>72 (1.9)</td>
<td>40 (1.9)</td>
<td>27 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.91 (0.03)</td>
<td>60 (0.4)</td>
<td>34 (2.0)</td>
<td>21 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.87 (0.06)</td>
<td>63 (4.9)</td>
<td>38 (1.5)</td>
<td>25 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.73 (0.06)</td>
<td>64 (2.1)</td>
<td>37 (0.2)</td>
<td>26 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.66 (0.10)</td>
<td>67 (3.1)</td>
<td>32 (1.3)</td>
<td>17 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.92 (0.06)</td>
<td>59 (1.6)</td>
<td>36 (3.0)</td>
<td>23 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.83 (0.03)</td>
<td>56 (3.6)</td>
<td>38 (3.9)</td>
<td>24 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.85 (0.02)</td>
<td>66 (5.2)</td>
<td>35 (1.4)</td>
<td>24 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.63 (0.03)</td>
<td>72 (6.8)</td>
<td>41 (3.0)</td>
<td>30 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ref.\(^{b}\) 9 68 23 4 0.4 10.0 4.0 174 8.4 – – – –

\(^{a}\)The data for BD, SWRs, SWRf and SWRw are mean values of the replicated samples and the standard deviations are given in parentheses.

\(^{b}\)Ref. (reference soil) is taken from C horizon of site 2, which might be considered as the starting point of pedogenesis on loess.

SOC, soil organic carbon; TN, total nitrogen; C/N, ratio of soil organic carbon to total nitrogen; CEC, cation exchange capacity; BD, bulk density; SWRs, soil water retention at saturation; SWRf, soil water retention at field capacity; SWRw, soil water retention at wilting point.

Calculated carbonate equivalent concentration, on the other hand, showed a decreasing structural relation with altitude (\(R^2 = 0.58\)) (Figure 3b). Carbonate equivalent and SOC were inversely related before SOC accumulates to about 50 g kg\(^{-1}\) (\(R^2 = 0.82, n = 16\)). In this range (left side of the red dashed line in Figure 3c), CaCO\(_3\) equivalent declined from 173 g kg\(^{-1}\) to less than 10 g kg\(^{-1}\). However, carbonate was not exhausted because SOC continued to...
Figure 3 Variation in (a) soil organic carbon (SOC) and (b) CaCO$_3$ equivalent with altitude, and (c) relation between SOC and CaCO$_3$ equivalent. Two forest sites (marked as red diamonds) were excluded from the structural relation between altitude and SOC (a). The red dashed line in (c) separates the 16 samples to the left that were used in the structural analysis from the others.

Figure 4 Principal component analysis (PCA): (a) eigenvector values of 13 variables plotted in the plane of the first two principal components and (b) PC scores of 23 soil samples plotted in the plane of the first two principal components. PC1 explains 66.6% of all variance and PC2 explains 15.7% of all variance.

Relations between soil carbon and other soil properties
The principal component analysis (PCA) provided a good summary of the variation in all the variables and samples (Figure 4). The first two principal components accounted for 82.3% of the total variance in the variables, with 66.6% of this for the first component (PC1). The first component (PC1) shows strong positive relations with SOC, TN, C/N ratio, CEC, clay content and soil water retention, and strong negative relations with CaCO$_3$ equivalent, pH and bulk density (Figure 4a). These two groups of variables account for a large proportion of the variation along PC1. All of these soil properties had eigenvector values greater than 0.75 (absolute value) on PC1. The second principal component (PC2) is primarily related to particle-size distribution with a strong negative relation between silt and sand; both have large eigenvector values along PC2. These two variables show no relation with the other soil properties on component 1 in the plane of the first two principal components. The plot of PC scores (Figure 4b) shows the relation between samples from different landscapes in the plane of the first two components. Desert steppe soil samples with the smallest SOC contents and largest CaCO$_3$ equivalent contents are clustered at the negative
Figure 5 Variation in: (a) total nitrogen (TN) with soil organic carbon (SOC), (b) C/N in relation to SOC and (c) C/N in relation to CaCO₃ equivalent. The vertical red dashed line shows a threshold in SOC at ca. 35 g kg⁻¹.

extreme of PC1, whereas coniferous forest samples with the largest SOC contents are located on the positive extreme. Subalpine steppe, alpine meadow and shrubby meadow sites are mainly in the central area, showing continuous variation between the two extremes of coniferous forest and carbonate-rich samples. The subalpine steppe is closest to the desert steppe and the two meadow land uses are closest to the coniferous forest samples. To get some deeper insight into these patterns of variation among the variables and underlying processes, the correlations between soil carbon and individual soil properties were examined further.

The patterns of variation in TN and C/N with SOC show a threshold in SOC at ca. 35 g kg⁻¹ (see vertical red dashed line in Figure 5). The rate of increase in TN with SOC decreases when SOC content exceeds this threshold, whereas below this TN increases linearly with SOC ($R^2 = 0.99, n = 9$) (Figure 5a). Correspondingly, the C/N ratio remains relatively constant at between 9.2 and 10.0 when SOC content is less than 35 g kg⁻¹. When SOC exceeds 35 g kg⁻¹, the C/N ratio increases from 10.0 to 17.1 with the increase in SOC ($R^2 = 0.85, n = 14$) (Figure 5b). Similarly, a threshold can be identified in the relation between C/N and CaCO₃ equivalent where CaCO₃ equivalent decreases between 24 and 50 g kg⁻¹ (Figure 5c).

The largest values of C/N were at the two forest sites.

Soil pH was relatively constant in the alkaline range (between pH 8.2 and 8.7) provided that the CaCO₃ equivalent concentration was more than 24 g kg⁻¹. However, it varied from pH 6.5 to 8.1 when CaCO₃ equivalent concentration was below 24 g kg⁻¹ (Table 2). In addition, soil pH decreased with the increase in SOC ($R^2 = 0.64$).

The CEC increased linearly from 4.2 to 60.5 cmol c kg⁻¹ with increasing SOC ($R^2 = 0.96$) (Figure 6). This effect was also reflected by the sharp decrease in CEC between 4.1 and 8.2 cmol c kg⁻¹ when SOC was removed through burning. The small CEC of soil after the removal of SOC reflects the contribution from the mineral soil. Contributions of SOC to CEC varied from 2.4 to 88.1%.

Soil bulk density decreased from 1.25 to 0.36 g cm⁻³ with an increase in SOC ($R^2 = 0.81$) (Figure 7a). The accumulation of SOC enhanced SWRs from 49 to 75% ($R^2 = 0.77$) (Figure 7b), SWRw from 14 to 30% ($R^2 = 0.56$) (Figure 7d).

Discussion

Pedogenic modification from uniform loess to diverse soil types

Surface soil in the Qilian Mountains has a fairly uniform particle-size distribution and mineralogy (except for calcareous minerals), irrespective of the contrasting environmental conditions and different pedogenetic processes. This is attributed to the continuous deposition of loess sediments from the early Holocene to the present, which provides homogeneous fine earth as the major source of soil parent material (Lehmkuhl et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2016). In practice, particle-size distribution curves are often used as an effective indicator to determine whether sediments have
Fig. 7 Relations between soil organic carbon (SOC) content and (a) bulk density, (b) soil water retention at saturation (SWRs), (c) field capacity (SWRF) and (d) wilting point (SWRw).

an aeolian origin (Vandenberghe, 2013; Yang et al., 2016). The consistent modal size at ∼35 μm in all particle-size distribution curves suggests that alpine and subalpine soils share common sources of parent material with the loess at the northern foreland of the Qilian Mountains (Vandenberghe, 2013; Yang et al., 2016).

Chemical weathering of soil minerals is relatively weak because of the low temperatures in the high mountain region and the extreme aridity in the lower foreland region (precipitation/potential evapotranspiration <0.2 below 3000 m) (Bourque & Mir, 2012; Chen et al., 2014). This is evident from the persistent dominance of illite and chlorite in the clay fraction; these minerals are easily transformed into smectite or vermiculite in environments with greater weathering intensity (Egli et al., 2003). Leaching of carbonate appears to be the dominant chemical weathering process; calcite and dolomite are precursors in the chain of weathering of all soil minerals (Anderson et al., 2000). These calcareous minerals can be replenished by continuous additions of fresh loess sediments. Moreover, the leaching of carbonate is a prerequisite for several pedogenic processes, such as acidification and clay migration by illuviation (Chadwick & Chorover, 2001).

The coincident accumulation of SOC and leaching of carbonate along the environmental gradient are pedogenic responses to climatic forces. More water input and lower temperatures at higher altitudes favour the simultaneous accumulation of SOC and leaching of carbonate (Jenny, 1941). The leaching of carbonate depletes calcite and dolomite. The accumulation of SOC is essentially bound up with biological processes and is mainly controlled by soil moisture in this region (Liu et al., 2012). The production and decomposition of soil organic matter is in balance in arid regions where primary production and SOC accumulation are primarily limited by the scarcity of water (Wang et al., 2014). In alpine environments, however, the C/N ratio shows an increasing trend with SOC ($R^2 = 0.85, n = 14$), which suggests a decline in microbial activity in soil or poorer quality organic matter inputs (Post et al., 1985; Vance & Chapin, 2001). The threshold patterns of variation in Fig. 5 indicate a change from a C-limited loess ecosystem in arid regions to an N-limited one in alpine settings.

**Strengthening of soil ecological functions**

The accumulation of SOC and the leaching of carbonate trigger a series of changes in function-related soil properties. Soil pH is buffered in the alkaline pH range provided that carbonate is the dominant soil-acid-consuming component (Chadwick & Chorover, 2001). The $H^+$ generated by biological activity (e.g., production of $CO_2$ and organic acids) leads to the neutralization of soil pH,
Figure 8 Conceptual diagram illustrating pedological and ecological implications of soil development on loess in response to the environmental gradient of the Qilian Mountains. BD, bulk density; CEC, cation exchange capacity; C/N, ratio of soil organic carbon to total nitrogen; SOC, soil organic carbon; SWR, soil water retention.

but only if the pH buffering system induced by \( \text{CO}_3^{2-} - \text{HCO}_3^- \) collapses after the exhaustion of carbonate. The near-neutral soil pH of the Qilian alpine ecosystem favours both microbial diversity and bioavailability of nutrients (Fierer & Jackson, 2006; Centeno & Alloway, 2013). The continuous addition of fresh loess materials precludes the acidification process, which is pronounced in many alpine ecosystems where a pH of \(< 3.5\) is not uncommon (Körner, 2003).

The CEC is often used as an indicator of soil fertility or nutrient retention capacity (Schoenholtz et al., 2000). In most cases, clay and SOC are two main contributors to CEC because they are the most important sources of negative charges in soil (Manrique et al., 1991). In our case, however, the effect of clay on CEC is largely masked by SOC because of the larger variation in SOC than in clay. The enhancement of CEC depends on the accumulation of SOC in these loess-based ecosystems. This suggests that changes in SOC content might be linked to adsorption or the release of nutrient cations (e.g. \( K^+ \), \( Ca^+ \), \( Mg^+ \) and \( NH_4^+ \)). In the case of intense forest or grass fire, the loss of SOC is largely responsible for the decrease in CEC and release of cations (Gimeno-García et al., 2000). Although the temperature of forest or grass fires could be different from that of the furnace combustion of SOC in our research, the implication for the decrease in CEC from the loss of SOC is evident.

Soil bulk density is an index of many soil functions because it has a considerable effect on aeration, infiltration, water retention and biological processes (Meyer et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2014). Soil organic carbon effectively reduces soil bulk density and increases total porosity, which is related to SWRs (Figure 7). The negative correlation between soil bulk density and total porosity \( (R^2 = 0.88) \) indicates that SOC decreases bulk density of the loess sediments mainly by producing more pore spaces within the soil matrix. The enhancement of SWRF and SWRw might be because SOC promotes a large volume of meso- and micro-pores, which hold capillary and hygroscopic water, respectively (Farley et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2014).

Overall, as the uniform loess sediments evolve to diversify the soil across the environmental gradient studied, the accumulation of SOC and depletion of carbonate from arid to alpine environments are the two major pedogenic factors that modify the loess sediments, and at the same time they are responsible for the functional evolution of loess-derived soil (Figure 8). This, in turn, promotes biological activity and ecosystem functioning, which suggests that loess-derived soil might change in an evolutionary and self-enhancing way from arid to alpine settings.

Conclusions

With almost uniform parent material (i.e. Holocene loess) and contrasting ecosystems from arid to alpine, the loess-derived soil has evolved in different ways across the large environmental gradient. Soil particle-size distribution over this range is quite consistent because of the common origin of the soil material and the overall weak intensity of chemical weathering. Calcite and dolomite account for the major differences in soil mineralogy.
Accumulation of SOC and leaching of carbonate are the two major pedogenic factors in the modification of loess sediments.

Developments of some function-related soil properties are connected with the variation in SOC and carbonate during pedogenesis. Soil pH changes from alkaline in arid environments to near-neutral in alpine settings, which reflects the buffering by carbonate. The accretion of SOC effectively enhances CEC and SWR, showing that loess-derived soil with larger SOC content holds more nutrients and water. Also, soil porosity is enhanced, whereas bulk density is reduced by SOC. Thus, the accumulation of SOC and depletion of carbonate from arid to alpine environments dominate the functional evolution of loess-derived soil.

This research has also shown that climatic toposequences in loess provide potentially natural experiments to explore intrinsic soil behaviour together with the evolution of the whole ecosystem because the soil parent material is well defined. A good demonstration in our case is that variation in the C/N ratio shows threshold-like patterns with SOC and carbonate, which suggests a transition from C-limited arid environments to N-limited alpine regions with effects on the functioning of the ecosystem.

Supporting Information

The following supporting information is available in the online version of this article:

Table S1. Information on the sample sites (coordinates, altitude, local slope, MAT and MAP).

Figure S1. (a) Soil texture diagram (USDA) and (b) soil particle-size distribution curves of the soil samples studied. Five loess-like samples in the arid steppe are marked in red and the other soil samples are marked in blue.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the Editor-in-Chief, Professor Margaret A. Oliver, the Deputy Editor, Professor Edward M. Tipping, an anonymous Associate Editor, an anonymous referee and the statistics panel for their constructive comments. We also thank Decheng Li, Yuguo Zhao, Jinling Yang and Feng Liu (Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences) for their help during the field work. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos 41130530, 91325301 and 41571130051).

References


